A.—The Hon'ble T. MARIAPPA (Home Minister).—

(*a*) (i) It is a major tank.

(ii) Four hundred and twenty-four acres, assessment Rs. 6 per acre.

(*b*) The tank filled six times and discharged five times during the last twenty years.

It is reported that the Pahanis for the period previous to 1937-38 are not available.

The extent of land under Paddy, Wheat and Garlic since 1937-38 is given below :—

Years.	Paddy.		Wheat.		Garlic.	
	A.	G.	A.	G.	A.	G.
1937-38 ...	151	29	32	30	122	24
1938-39 ...	134	06	66	38	52	27
1939-40 ...	127	25	77	27	4	07
1940-41 ...	121	29	38	13	100	10
1941-42 ...	131	23	...		58	06
1942-43 ...	143	22	124	37	11	17
1943-44 ...	143	04	190	04	5	28
1944-45 ...	170	23	35	19	48	34
1945-46 ...	148	08	58	21	30	11
1946-47 ...	145	33	48	30	36	31
1947-48 ...	136	34	64	38	52	21
1948-49 ...	162	03	41	34	92	04
1949-50 ...	166	26	28	25	21	13
1950-51 ...	168	18	31	08	50	06

(*c*) As parts of the atchkat under this tank are interchangeable either with Madag or Ayyanakere, it was thought advisable to pool up both systems to secure proper economy of water and release the Madag tank of part of its atchkat. Releasing of the Chickpatnagere tank from Madag system and taking it over to Ayyanakere system is one of these measures. It was not considered likely that the supplies due to the Chickpatnagere tank would any way be affected as there is always a good amount of water in Veda valley.

(*d*) The atchkat under Madag tank does not admit of any additional area being added to it again.

Alleged arrangement to sell Road Transport Services.

Q.—5. Sri K. MUDIAPPA (Chikmagalur District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether it is true that arrangements are being made of late to sell away Road Transport services ;

(*b*) the names of those from whom tenders have been received and the amounts offered by them with dates ?

A.—The Hon'ble Sri H. SIDDAIYA (Minister for Revenue and Excise).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) Does not arise in view of answer to (*a*) above.

Expenditure by Municipalities for Trapping Monkeys.

Q.—6. Lokasevaniratha M. HANUMANTHIAH (Bangalore District—Depressed Class.)—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

The expenditure incurred by each municipality in the State for trapping monkeys and removing them out to avoid the nuisance caused by them ?

A.—The Hon'ble Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH (Minister for Local Self-Government).—Information cannot be furnished as it is not clear for what year or period the information is required.

Statistics of Food production since 1947.

Q.—9. Sri H. M. MALLIKARJUNAPPA (Chikmagalur District—General),—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the steps that have been taken to step up food production in the State since 1947 ;

(*b*) the amount spent for Grow More Food campaign since 1947 up to the end of Noveber 1950 ;

(*c*) whether the food production has increased year after year or decreased ; if increased, the quantity of food grains that has increased since 1947 up to the end of June 1950 ?

A.—The Hon'ble Sri T. MARIAPPA (Home Minister)—(*a*) A note regarding steps taken to increase food production is placed on the table*. A three-year plan to Grow More Food was drawn up with a view to make the State self-sufficient regarding food

*Copy placed in the Assembly Library.

(THE HON'BLE SRI T. MARIAPPA)

requirements and the plan was approved by the Government of India.

The Department of Food Production was started in October 1949 to execute the several schemes connected with the plan. A printed copy of the plan is placed on the table.*

		Rs.
(b) 1947-48	Establishment	1,03,424
	Subsidy ...	9,44,147
1948-49	Establishment	2,25,902
	Subsidy ...	3,11,290

The total amount involved under the several schemes during the year 1949-50 is Rs. 1,72,49,930.

(c) Yea, there is increase in food production. No figures are available for the years 1947 and 1948. During the year 1949-50 the aggregate additional production of food-grains has been estimated at 43,440 tons. Information for the year 1950-51 is not not yet available.

Grants and Loans from Central Government after Financial Integration.

Q.—10. Sri D. H. CHANDRASEKHARAIYA (Chitaldrug District—General)—

Will the Government be pleaased to state :—

the various grants and loans received by the State from the Central Govern- Government ever since the financial integration came into force and the purposes for which they were received?

A.—The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA (Minister for Finance and Industries).—

1. Rs. 345 lakhs towards the net Revenue gap according to the Financial agreement.

2. *Grants.*—42·63 lakhs for Grow More Food Schemes for the year 1949-50. 33·55 lakhs for Grow More Food Schemes for the year 1950-51.

3. *Loans.*—Rs. 29·5 lakhs for Grow More Food Schemes for the year 1949-50. Rs. 40·00 lakhs for Grow More Food Schemes for the year 1950-51.

4. *Grant promised.*—Rs. 2·50 lakhs for Rural Industrialisation Scheme.

Loan promised.—One and a half crores for Capital Expenditure 1950-51; Rs. 1½ crores for Capital Expenditure 1951-52.

Failure of Crops in certain areas.

Q.—15. Sri D. H. CHANDRASEKHARAIYA. (Chitaldrug District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to stae :—

(a) the areas in the State which have been subjected to failure of crops in the year 1950-51;

(b) the steps that have been taken to protect the people in such areas from the scracity conditions in regard to food and other requirements of life?

A.—The Hon'ble Sri T. MARIAPPA (Home Minister).—

(a) In about 79 villages of Kolar District (Kolar, Bangarpet, and Mulbagal Taluks) crops have failed during 1950-51.

(b) Special allotments of foodgrains have been made to these taluks for issue of rations to the affected population and also relief works have been started in some places wherever necessary to provide employment to needy people.

Disciplinary action against a Second Year B.Sc., Student.

Q.—24. Sri S. RANGARAMIAH (Mysore District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the Unversity Council took disciplinary action against a student of Second Year B.Sc. Textiles class in 1950; if so, the nature of the offence and the punishment given to him;

(b) whether the student sat for the examination in spite of the disciplinary action taken against him; who permitted him to do so;

* Copy placed in the Assembly Library.